COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. NO.</u> 3744-01 <u>BILL NO.</u> HB 1658

SUBJECT: Sexual conduct with animals.

TYPE: Original DATE: April 3, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. NO. 3744-01 BILL NO. HB 1658 PAGE 2 OF 3 April 3, 2000

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Currently, the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court. If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational costs either through incarceration (average of \$35.61 per inmate, per day) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (average of \$2.47 per offender, per day).

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- 1) DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders,
- 2) The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence.

Supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed that the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

The need for additional capital improvements is not anticipated at this time. It must be noted that the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if adopted, could result in the need for additional capital improvements funding if the total number of new offenders exceeds current planned capacity.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** assume they could provide representation for those cases arising where indigent persons were charged with the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. However passage of more than one similar bill would require the State Public Defender System to request increased appropriations to cover cumulative cost of representing the indigent accused in the additional cases.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
	(10 Mo.)		

GENERAL REVENUE

Cost - Department of Corrections (Less than (Less than

RV:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 3744-01 BILL NO. HB 1658 PAGE 3 OF 3 April 3, 2000

Increased incarcerations	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	\$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
	(10 Mo.)		
	0	0	0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact on small businesses would be expected due to this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation makes it a class D felony to knowingly engage in sexual conduct with an animal, or knowingly cause another to engage in sexual conduct with an animal for sexual gratification.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Office of Prosecution Services Office of State Public Defender

NOT RESPONDING: Office of Attorney General

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director April 3, 2000